

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR06-5040FDB
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
vs.)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
)	DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS'
EDWARD FERGUSON,)	DEADLINE
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

Upon the stipulation of the parties to continue the trial date in the above-captioned case, and the Defendant's Waiver of Speedy Trial Rights, the Court finds that a failure to grant the continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

The Court further finds that the ends of justice will be served by ordering a continuance in this case, that a continuance is necessary to insure effective trial preparation and that these factors outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial; therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial in this matter be continued to June 26, 2006, at 9:00 a.m. with a pretrial motions' deadline of March 31, 2006, and a Pretrial

ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED MOTION
TO CONTINUE TRIAL DATE AND
PRETRIAL MOTIONS' DEADLINE

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
1331 Broadway, Ste. 400
Tacoma, Washington 98402
(253) 593-6710

1 Conference scheduled for June 16, 2006.

2 The period of delay resulting from this continuance of the trial date from March
3 27, 2006, up to and including the new trial date of June 26, 2006 is hereby excluded for
4 speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

5 DONE this 8th day of March, 2006.

6
7
8 
9

10 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12

13 Presented by:
14

15
16 /s/

17 MIRIAM F. SCHWARTZ
18 Attorney for Defendant
19

20 /s/

21 KENT Y. LIU
22 Assistant United States Attorney
23
24
25
26